

guilty findings were the result of a jury trial, and the offenses for which he was found guilty were aggravated felonies.

4. Jackson was sentenced to death for the aggravated murder; seven (7) to twenty-five (25) years imprisonment in a state correctional institution for the attempted aggravated murder; and to seven (7) to twenty-five (25) years imprisonment in a state correctional institution for the aggravated robbery with the sentences to run consecutively. Jackson's death sentence was ultimately amended to life imprisonment.
5. Pursuant to his arrest, conviction, and sentence, Jackson was incarcerated from May 25, 1975 to November 21, 2014.
6. Jackson's conviction and resulting imprisonment were based on the testimony of a boy who was 12 years old at the time of the crime. At trial, the boy claimed to see the crimes. But decades later as an adult, he admitted that he never saw the crimes.
7. Jackson filed a petition for post-conviction relief based on the recantation of the witness referred to in paragraph 6, and a hearing was held before Judge Richard McMonagle. The witness testified that he never saw the crime. Based on the post-conviction petition and hearing, the State moved to dismiss the case.

8. On November 21, 2014, the court granted the motion to dismiss Jackson's case, vacated his conviction, and ordered that he be released.
9. The prosecuting attorney in the case will not seek any further appeal of right or upon leave of court, and no criminal proceeding is pending, can be brought, or will be brought by any prosecuting attorney, city director or law, village solicitor, or other chief legal officer of a municipal corporation against the individual for any act associated with that conviction.
10. Subsequent to Jackson's sentencing and during his imprisonment, it was determined by the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas that the State of Ohio's evidence was irreconcilable with Jackson's guilt for the charges of which he was convicted.
11. On December 9, 2014, the State of Ohio, by and through Prosecuting Attorney Timothy McGinty, filed with the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas a "Notice of Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Intent as to Defendant's Future Claims." This notice (attached) reads that, "As recently demonstrated at a hearing in Judge Richard McMonagle's courtroom, the foundation of evidence used to convict [Jackson] can no longer be relied upon due to the recantation by the witness who provided [Jackson's] name() to the police and identified [him] at trial. After hearing the witness in person, his answers to vigorous cross-examination, and the major inconsistencies in his original and subsequent trial testimonies pointed out by the defense teams as well as others we noted, we are convinced that the 12 year old key

and only eye witness never saw the robbery and murder. Thus, the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor has no evidence tying any of the three convicted defendants to the crimes, considers the defendants innocent, and joins in the defense motions to declare them so. They have been victims of a terrible injustice. In order to further justice and to avoid the unnecessary accumulation of attorney's fees on behalf of the defendants, it is the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's position not to oppose the anticipated wrongful imprisonment claims."

12. On February 11, 2015, the Common Pleas Court of Cuyahoga County, pursuant to ORC § 2743.48 and ORC § 2305.02 filed an entry declaring that Plaintiff Ricky Jackson is a "wrongfully imprisoned individual" under ORC § 2743.48 for the aggravated murder, attempted aggravated murder, and aggravated robbery charges for which he was convicted on August 13, 1975 and imprisoned from May 25, 1975 until November 21, 2014. In this entry, Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was explicitly put on notice that he is entitled to commence a civil action for damages against the State of Ohio in the Court of Claims as set forth in ORC § 2743.48. A copy of this entry is attached to this Complaint.
13. Wrongfully imprisoned for over 39 years, Ricky Jackson has served the longest time in prison of any person ever exonerated in United States history.


14. Pursuant to the entries referred to in paragraphs 8 and 12 and the notice referred to in paragraph 11, Ricky Jackson qualifies as a “wrongfully imprisoned individual” under ORC § 2743.48.

15. Pursuant to ORC § 2743.48, Plaintiff Ricky Jackson is thus irrebuttably presumed to be a wrongfully imprisoned individual, and he and is entitled to receive a sum of money pursuant to ORC § 2743.48(E)(2).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ricky Jackson, pursuant to ORC § 2743.48(F), demands judgment against Defendant for:

- A. Damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to ORC§2743.48(E)(2); and
- B. Costs and attorney fees in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to ORC § 2743.48(E)(2); and
- C. Such other and further relief as this Court shall deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,



Michele L. Berry (0081939)
The Law Office of Michele L. Berry, LLC
www.mberrylaw.com
114 East 8th Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Tel: 513.919.5315
Fax: 513.376.8752
mberrylaw2007@gmail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff Ricky Jackson



87886407

3

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO **FILED**

RICKY JACKSON
Plaintiff

THE STATE OF OHIO
Defendant

Case No: CV-15-838668 2015 FEB 12 P 12:33

Judge: SHANNON M GALE CLERK OF COURTS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

JOURNAL ENTRY

96 DISP.OTHER - FINAL

HEARING HELD 02/11/2015. PER THE AGREED STIPULATIONS OF THE PARTIES, FILED 02/09/2015, THE COURT ANNOUNCED ITS DETERMINATION THAT PLAINTIFF RICKY JACKSON IS A WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED INDIVIDUAL WITHIN THE MEANING OF R.C. 2743.48(A).

PURSUANT TO R.C. 2743.48(B)(2), THE COURT PROVIDED PLAINTIFF WITH A COPY OF THE STATUTE. THE COURT ALSO ORALLY INFORMED PLAINTIFF AND HIS COUNSEL THAT PLAINTIFF MAY COMMENCE A CIVIL ACTION AGAINST THE STATE IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS BECAUSE OF THE PLAINTIFF'S WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT AND THAT PLAINTIFF MAY BE REPRESENTED IN THAT CIVIL ACTION BY COUNSEL OF HIS CHOICE.

JUDGMENT ENTRY IS SIGNED AND ORDER ATTACHED. OSJ.
COURT COST ASSESSED TO THE DEFENDANT(S).

Judge Signature

Date

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

RICKY JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF OHIO,

Defendant.

FILED
2015 FEB 12 P 12:33

CASE NO. CV-15-838668

CLERK OF COURTS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

JUDGE SHANNON M. GALLAGHER

JUDGMENT ENTRY

1. Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was charged by indictment in 1975 with having committed aggravated murder, in violation of R.C. 2903.01; attempted aggravated murder, in violation of R.C. 2903.01 and R.C. 2923.02; and aggravated robbery, in violation of R.C. 2911.01, and the violations charged were aggravated felonies or felonies.
2. Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was found guilty of, but did not plead guilty to, aggravated murder, in violation of R.C. 2903.01; attempted aggravated murder, in violation of R.C. 2903.01 and R.C. 2923.02; and aggravated robbery, in violation of R.C. 2911.01, by the court or jury involved, and the offenses of which he was found guilty were aggravated felonies or felonies.
3. Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was sentenced to an indefinite or definite term of imprisonment in a state correctional institution for the offense of which he was found guilty.
4. The conviction of Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was vacated, dismissed, or reversed on appeal, the prosecuting attorney in the case cannot or will not seek any further appeal of right or upon leave of court, and no criminal proceeding is pending, can be brought, or will be brought by any prosecuting attorney, city director of law, village solicitor, or other chief

legal officer of a municipal corporation against him for any act associated with that conviction.

5. The offenses of which Plaintiff Ricky Jackson was charged, including all lesser-included offenses, were not committed by him.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ricky Jackson is hereby declared to have been a "wrongfully imprisoned individual" within the meaning of R.C. 2743.48(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.


SHANNON M. GALLAGHER
Judge, Court of Common Pleas

2/11/2015
Date

THE STATE OF OHIO }
Cuyahoga County } SS. I, THE CLERK OF THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN
AND FOR SAID COUNTY.
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING IS TRULY
TAKEN AND COPIED FROM THE ORIGINAL
Case 2-11-15
NOW ON FILE IN MY OFFICE.
WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS 13 DAY
OF February A.D. 20 15
CUYAHOGA COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS
By [Signature] Deputy



87071488

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO**

FILED
2014 DEC 11 10:32
WINDOW 8
CLERK OF COURTS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO
Plaintiff

RICKY JACKSON
Defendant

Case No: CR-75-020436-B


Judge: RICHARD J MCMONAGLE


INDICT: 2923.02 ATTEMPT
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
ADDITIONAL COUNTS...

JOURNAL ENTRY

UPON REVIEW OF THE NOTICE FILED BY CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR TIMOTHY J. MCGINTY AND PURSUANT TO R.C. 2743.48(A)(5), THIS COURT DETERMINES THAT THE UNDERLYING CHARGED OFFENSE, INCLUDING ALL LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES, WAS NOT COMMITTED BY THE DEFENDANT, RICKY JACKSON.

12/09/2014
CPMCR 12/10/2014 10:17:23

 12/10/14
Judge Signature Date

| | | |
|--|-------|---|
| THE STATE OF OHIO Cuyahoga County | } SS. | I, THE CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN AND FOR SAID COUNTY, |
| HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS TRULY TAKEN AND RETURNED TO THE COURT. | | |
| NOW ON THIS 12th DAY OF Feb 2014 | | |
| WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE CUYAHOGA COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS | | |
| By  | | Deputy |

MISC
12/09/2014 Sheriff Signature 





87071488

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO
Plaintiff

RICKY JACKSON
Defendant

FILED
2010 DEC 11 10
WINDOW 8
CLERK OF COURTS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

Case No: CR-75-020436-B


Judge: RICHARD J MCMONAGLE

INDICT: 2923.02 ATTEMPT
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
ADDITIONAL COUNTS...

JOURNAL ENTRY

UPON REVIEW OF THE NOTICE FILED BY CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR TIMOTHY J. MCGINTY AND PURSUANT TO R.C. 2743.48(A)(5), THIS COURT DETERMINES THAT THE UNDERLYING CHARGED OFFENSE, INCLUDING ALL LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES, WAS NOT COMMITTED BY THE DEFENDANT, RICKY JACKSON.

12/09/2014
CPMCR 12/10/2014 10:17:23

 12/19/14
Judge Signature Date

MISC
12/09/2014

Sheriff Signature 





86809125

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO
Plaintiff

RICKY JACKSON
Defendant

FILED
20 NOV 26 AM 8:21
WINDOWS
CLERK OF COURTS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

Case No: CR-75-020436-B

Judge: RICHARD J MCMONAGLE

INDICT: 2923.02 ATTEMPT
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
2903.01 AGGRAVATED MURDER
ADDITIONAL COUNTS...

JOURNAL ENTRY

HEARING HELD.
STATE OF OHIO WITHDRAWS OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IS GRANTED.
DEFENDANT ORDERED HELD IN COUNTY JAIL FOR
HEARING SET FOR 11/21/2014 AT 09:00 AM.

11/18/2014
CPEDB 11/19/2014 10:07:32

Judge Signature

Date

HEAR
11/18/2014

Sheriff Signature

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO
CRIMINAL DIVISION

| | | |
|---------------|---|---|
| STATE OF OHIO |) | CASE NO. CR 020436 |
| Plaintiff |) | JUDGE RICHARD McMONAGLE |
| -vs- |) | <u>NOTICE OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY</u> |
| RICKY JACKSON |) | <u>PROSECUTOR'S INTENT AS TO</u> |
| Defendant |) | <u>DEFENDANT'S FUTURE CLAIMS</u> |

Now comes Cuyahoga County Prosecutor Timothy J. McGinty and respectfully provides the Court with a Notice of Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Intent as to Future Claims.

This matter affects Ricky Jackson, Wiley Bridgeman, and Ronnie Bridgman, three defendants who were tried and convicted nearly forty years ago. As recently demonstrated at a hearing in Judge Richard McMonagle's courtroom, the foundation of evidence used to convict them can no longer be relied upon due to the recantation by the witness who provided the defendants' names to the police and identified them at trial. After hearing the witness in person, his answers to vigorous cross-examination, and the major inconsistencies in his original and subsequent trial testimonies pointed out by the defense teams as well as others we noted, we are convinced that the 12 year-old key and only eye witness never saw the robbery and murder. Thus, the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor has no evidence tying any of the three convicted defendants to the crimes, considers the defendants

innocent, and joins in the defense motions to declare them so. They have been victims of a terrible injustice.

In order to further justice and to avoid the unnecessary accumulation of attorney's fees on behalf of the defendants, it is the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's position not to oppose the anticipated wrongful imprisonment claims.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Timothy J. McGinty
TIMOTHY J. McGINTY (#0024626)
CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR
MARY H. McGRATH (#0041381)
SALEH S. AWADALLAH (#0063422)
The Justice Center, 9th Floor
1200 Ontario Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
(216) 443-7800

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing Notice of Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Intent as to Future Claims has been sent by regular U.S. Mail, electronic service, and/or email this 9th day of December, 2014, to Brian Howe and Mark Godsey, Ohio Innocence Project, P.O. Box 210040, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, attorneys for Ricky Jackson.

/s/ TIMOTHY J. McGINTY
TIMOTHY J. McGINTY (#0024626)
CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR

RECEIVED FOR FILING
CLERK OF COURT
CUYAHOGA COUNTY

IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

FEB 27 2 06 PM '78

SEP 11 1979

State of Ohio,

Plaintiff, FILED

No. CR 20436

-vs-

Ricky Jackson,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT
and
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

For Plaintiff:

Hon. John T. Corrigan, prosecuting attorney.

For Defendant:

Mr. Richard Oviatt, 1710 Investment Plaza
Mr. Garry B. Schwartz, Engineers Bldg.

Hitchcock, J. (By assignment from Paulding County.)

The request of defendants' counsel that the court vacate its order dismissing defendant's petition for post-conviction relief as per its letter finding dated January 12, 1978 is granted. The reason is that the court prior thereto failed to make findings of fact and conclusions of law as required by R. C. 2953.21(C).

Now considering anew defendant's petition for post-conviction relief the court finds as:

FACTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

After appeal wherein the Court of Appeals reversed only the death penalty imposed against defendant on January 13, 1976 for defect in the verdict form, defendant filed a petition for post-conviction relief through his present appointed counsel on May 24, 1977.

Defendant now alleges that "it was impossible for the jury to return a fair and unbiased verdict as more fully set forth in the accompanying brief and affidavit." The attached affidavit by a black member of the jury which heard this case, in which the defendant is a black man, Patricia Ann Brantley, says in pertinent part:

"2. I and the other jurors knew from the testimony in said case that one Wiley Bridgeman was accused of committing with Ricky Jackson the same crimes for which Ricky Jackson was being tried before us.

"3. Before deliberating in the Ricky Jackson case, the jury, including myself, learned from the newspaper account (copy filed with affidavit) and conversation among the jurors that Wiley Bridgeman had been found guilty of the aggravated murder of Ann Robinson."

In the brief defendant's present counsel argue, "... It is the defendant's position that this knowledge was highly prejudicial and made it impossible for the jury to return a verdict solely upon the evidence presented. To find the defendant innocent would in effect have put the jury in the defendant's case in the position of stating that the Bridgeman verdict was wrong. On the other hand, if there was any reasonable doubt as to guilt in the jury's minds, the Bridgeman decision would have resolved it sufficiently to permit the jury to find the defendant guilty."

1 In support he cites Quintana v. People. (1965) 405 P2d 740, 158 Colo.
 2 189. 58 ALR2d 592, Section II, Statements as to Conviction of Co-
 3 defendants; and 15 O. Jur. 2d, Section 555, Reading of Newspaper Articles.

4
 5 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

6 As presiding judge in defendant's trial wherein was imposed the
 7 first death sentence ever imposed by this judge in 15 years, the court
 8 has a good recollection of the facts and has no doubt that defendant
 9 received

- 10 1. An extremely fair trial;
- 11 2. Wherein he was represented by diligent, competent,
 12 and talented counsel.
- 13 3. And in which the evidence was highly credible.

14 Coming now to the issue raised the court first notes that the
 15 juror does not say that the knowledge of the accomplice's conviction
 16 disturbed her honest evaluation of the evidence in any way.

17 An examination of counsel's citations of legal authority show
 18 his reliance upon them to be misplaced. In the Quintana case an accom-
 19 plice had plead guilty and been sentenced unknown to the jury; and
 20 defendant, an ex-convict, remained silent. The news item misquoted
 21 the defense counsel as saying defendant, his client, "an ex-convict,
 22 could not possibly have robbed" the place in question. Moreover, over
 23 objection, the trial judge deliberately failed to have a stenographic
 24 record made of counsels' closing arguments to the jury.

25 "§11, Statements as to conviction of co-defendants."

1 heads part of an annotation appearing at 58 ALR2d 592. It makes
2 reference to 10 Texas citations where statements to fellow jurors that
3 an accomplice had been convicted were found to be so prejudicial as to
4 require instant conviction to be set aside. Three other Texas citations
5 also are noted to the effect that not all such statements are necessarily
6 prejudicial.

7 15 O Jur 2d, Criminal Law, §555 discusses the subject
8 generally and cites State v. Craven (1973) 35 OS2d¹⁸ , 298 NE2d 597
9 and 54 OO 2d 10 which follows Marshall v. U.S. (1959) 360
10 US 310, 79 S Ct 1171, 3 L Ed2 1250. The latter holds that the issue of
11 prejudice in "each case must turn on its special facts." It did grant a
12 new trial to one convicted of unlawful drug dispensing where newspapers
13 conveyed to the jurors information that he had previously been convicted
14 of practicing medicine without a license some 3 or more years
15 previously; and the trial judge had ruled against its admission by the
16 prosecution in the trial.

17 Here, by virtue of Criminal Rule 14, defendant and each
18 accomplice were enjoying the right of individual trials in a situation
19 where the State might well have caused all co-defendants to be jointly
20 tried. See also Crim. Rule 8(B). The constitution requires speedy
21 trial and the trials of defendant and one of his accomplices were being
22 tried simultaneously in different courtrooms by different juries pre-
23 sided over by different judges. Both juries heard essentially the same
24 evidence tending to prove guilt and, in the opinion of this court, this
25 evidence was highly credible. Unless we presume that jurors are


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

*

something less than honorable citizens unable to understand the obligations of the jurors' oath, this court can not perceive any fact established by defendant in his motion and affidavit that can be equated with prejudice amounting to lack of a fair trial in the circumstances. This court has no reason to doubt the truth of the juror's affidavit and accepts it as true and correct.

In the context of this case it is not sufficient to show any necessity for considering any testimony outside the record. This is not a case where the jury receives a news report, the essential truth of which they are in no position to fairly understand or evaluate, indicating some likelihood of this defendant's guilt in his present circumstances. My reading of the reported cases convinces me that this was a fact in the great percentage of them, a position with which I am in accord.

Counsel may prepare appropriate journal entries in conformity to these findings and conclusions. A journal entry vacating the prior order of dismissal is filed herewith.



10-13-77 Verdict guilty 1995 found et. l.
not guilty 1st time. Verdict
overruled. 1995. Verdict of 1st
1995. found et. l. Verdict
1995. not et. l. of 1st & Illinois
overruled

Youngslee J

10-26-77 motion for new trial
10-26-77 motion for judgment of acquittal filed
10-26-77

1-16-78 Sent 7-25 present 2 and 7-25 present
at 3. construction. Sent to be
in electric chair on et. l. for
remains in county jail until
motion for new trial is heard
costs

Youngslee J

317-938

2-2-78 case assigned to judge
Joffe for hearing post-trial
motion

Youngslee J

318-228

3-15-78 Writ by D Mc Leathy & J Milano
of unconstitutional hearing for
new trial.

Joffe J

7-24-78 motion for new trial overruled
Joffe J
made 4-11-78
320-122
320-447

7-31-78 motion for new trial and
judgment of acquittal overruled.
Def to be transported to CCF

Youngslee J

320-619

11-4-78 Mot. for declaration of indigency
and appointment of counsel, and preparation
of transcript of trial.

Mot. J. Milano & R. Miller appt. l.
in appeal from et. l. state
appeal.

Joffe J

Review for more accurate book

4-18-78 Jerry Milano and Robert M. Miller
opposed for appeal

322-249

5-2-78 N/A list

5-24-78 City for allowed \$650.00

325-990

5-23-78 - motion by defendant appeal for stay

of execution (with return set for July 5, 1978) pending
appeal to the Supreme Court of Ohio granted 8/11/78
326-88

6-3-78 motion for extension of time to file transcript
of proceedings granted to 7-6-78 326-833

6-26-78 - motion for extension of time to file
transcript of proceedings granted to 7-27-78

328-108

7-21-78 motion for extension of time to file
transcript of proceedings granted to 8/11/78

320-341

8/16/78 motion for extension of time to file transcript
of proceedings granted to 9/15/78 322-46

8-23-78 Copy Criminal Index case sent
to defendant.

9-5-78 record on appeal filed.

9-15-78 Transcription of Proceedings
filed

324-111

9/14/78 - motion for extension of time to file transcript
of proceedings granted to 10/13/78 324-113

9/29/78 - motion for extension of time to file transcript
of proceedings granted to 10/18/78

326-153

10-13-78 Transcript of Proceedings filed
10/23/78-02450 allowed for transcript of proceedings

326-305

11-1-78 motion by defendant for extension
of time to file transcript of proceedings
granted to 11-2-78

326-747

file brief
brief granted to 12-1-78
378-787

1/15/78 motion for reconsideration + extension
time to file brief granted to 12/4/78.
339-860

6/3/79 - Amicus curiae motion to correct omission
on appeal is overruled. 343-112

11/29/79 - Sentence modified to sentence of life imprisonment.
373-862-863 + 374-552

2/19/80 Appeal from Crown Pkwy modified and
as modified is affirmed. 383-684-709

7/14/80 - motion for leave to appeal overruled. see

1-17/80 - 24.05 allowed for preparation of sentencing
of the bank.

added costs 24.05
5-7-81 mtr for post conviction relief filed

1-18-81 motion for post conviction
relief denied. ltrly. & orally
accepted

5-1-81 motion to dismiss for failure
to state a claim upon which
relief can be granted filed

1-28-81 Petition for Post. Conviction
Relief denied. 1/18/28/81
444-992-996 418-982

7-10-81 motion for Respox refused
11-5-81 add fee's 459-652
Maghan 170⁰⁰

CRIMINAL DOCKET

20436

Judge McMonagle

| | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| State of Ohio vs. | Co-Def. See Pg. No. | Same Def. Case No. |
| <i>Wiley Bridgeman</i> | <i>Jackson 38 A</i> | |
| <i>Cr. # 35647</i> | | |
| <i>Co. # 39346</i> | | |

Transcript:
 Charge: *6-16-75 Jerry Milano +*
 Mury Ct: *P. Mc Carthy assigned*
 Bail: \$
 Surety: *Frank J 255-261*

Indictment for: *6-12-75*
Eggs. Murder / 6-17-75 Investigator
2d. Eggs. Murder / authorized expense
Eggs. Robbery Jr. Pg. not to exceed 500

Capias Issued:
 Capias Returned: *Frank J*

ARRAIGNMENT: *6-17-75*
 Plea: *7A*
 Bail: *100.00 Pre-Trial*
 Atty. Assign.
 Judge Filed:
Frank Jr. 255 Pg. 5
6-20-75 Motion for Discovery and Bill of Part. filed

Bond: \$ CP#
 Surety:
 Date:
 Jr. Pg. *6-23-75 Motion to app. investigator granted. Home Det Agency app'd. Limit 500*

BFC Filed:
 Appear on:
 Judge: *McMonagle J 255 485*
 Jr. Pg. *500*

BFC Vacated:
 Judge Filed:
 Jr. Pg. *McMonagle J 255 485*

ON TRIAL *8-4-75*
 Jury Sworn Waived
8-4-75 2-01
8-4-75 2-5-75
8-11-75
 Judge Filed:
Lacan Jr. 255 Pg. 201
6-30-75 Special Verule of 75 reduced for 7-14-75 (Judge Stahl) McMonagle 255 624

CHANGE OF PLEA
 To:
 Ref. to:
 Judge Filed:
 Jr. Pg. *7-22-75 Call of Part filed. Demand for Discovery by the State filed 7-24-75 Dept. motion to compel. grtd. from in reply by 7-28-75 in McMonagle J 255-441*
7-22-75 Verule returned copy to dept by Stauff. Copy to Pinc. and Judge Stahl by Black

DISPOSITION: *8-18-75*
Verdict guilty all counts. 7-22-75 Call of Part filed. Demand for Discovery by the State filed 7-24-75 Dept. motion to compel. grtd. from in reply by 7-28-75 in McMonagle J 255-441
102 12-11-75 Sent to prison 4-17-76 at 102. 9. Milano & 8. Miller not for appeal. Judge Filed: Lacan Jr. Pg. 201

Atty. Fee Allowed: \$
 Judge Filed:
 Jr. Pg. *7-26-75*

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2B

| TAXED COSTS | | Amount | |
|------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Amount | <i>44.75</i> | Amount | <i>35.00</i> |
| Bond Forf. Judg. | | Fine | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

264-211
264-211

265-837

2-13-76 motion for stay of execution

1-17-76 Supplemental Affidavit of Discovery

267-1270

1-13-76 2976 - 12-12-76 affidavit

267-1270

1-26-76 motion for stay of execution to file

1-17-76 Transcript of Proceedings

12-11-75 Receipt

12-19-75 letter of appeal and copy of

12-17-75 letter of appeal

12-11-75 motion for stay of execution to file

12-7-75 motion for appeal

10-21-75 Court order further appeal

8-26-75 & 8-27-75 motion for appeal

8-25-75 Motion for New Trial

257-439

8-25-75 Motion for New Trial

Supplemental Transcript of
Proceedings filed
8-29-76 (Re: Motion Appeal)

1-7-77 Appeal from U.S. District Court
District of Columbia [Case No. 76-1011]
and appeal from 1-7-77 [Case No. 76-1011]
Pryatt, J.

5-4-77 case assigned to judge Angelotta
for further proceedings.

Apelley, J. 297-350

5-10-77 Dept ordered returned from C.C.I.
Angelotta, J. 297-994

5-31-77 Dept returned from Sweetville

7-1-77 case assigned to judge Pryatt
for further proceedings.

Spencer, J. 301-952

10-1-77 case transferred to judge Pryatt
and assigned to judge
Angelotta, J. 302-709

7-29-77 Motion in order for appoint-
ment of investigator filed.

5-1-77 motion and order for appointment
of investigator granted.
Zengale, J.

5-15-77 Motion requesting disposition of
Prosecution witness filed.

5-15-77 Request for discovery filed.

6-16-77 Dept waived right to speedy trial.
Zengale, J. 303-544

9-29-77 Notice of Appeal filed.

10-4-77 Panel sworn - Van Dael
Zengale, J. 307-960

10-15-77 jury & 2nd sworn. View of stand
Zengale, J. 307-963

10-21-77 On Trial
Zengale, J.

10-27-77 On Trial
Zengale, J.

11-1-77 On Trial

Prison, J.

8-26-76 Application for writ
corpus filed.
Pryatt, J.

1-27-76 U.F.C.
Pryatt, J. 283

10-25-76 Bond Judgment
Co 17841 for 500.00
Carney, J.

11-1-76 Detainer sent to Ft.
Sandston, Minn.

11-11-76 Motion for Dismissal
(Box 1000)

1-18-76 Appeal (Sandston, J.
Angelotta, J.)

1-27-76 Appeals

1-1-77 Court to 1-2-77
10-1-77

1-21-77 Pres. request PT on
2-4-77

McManamon, J.

2-4-77 Dept. requests continued
prepare motion and
McManamon, J.

4-13-77 Motion for Dismissal

4-19-77 Dept's motion for dismissal
McManamon, J. (Mailed to S)

5/25/77 Motion for writ
filed

5/25/77 Notice of Appeal
5/26/77 Notice of Appeal
Sheet to Clerk

6-2-77 case assigned to judge
C. Robert Pryatt
McManamon, J.

306
A